

REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF PARK COMMISSIONERS ANDERSON TOWNSHIP PARK DISTRICT

November 18, 2014

Dale Bartholomew, President, called the regular meeting of the Board of Park Commissioners of the Anderson Township Park District (“ATPD”) to order at 6:30 pm at Park District Headquarters, 8249 Clough Pike, Cincinnati, OH 45244. Present were Board Members: Nadine Gelter, Rob Herking, Angie Stocker, and Tom Turchiano.

Also present were: Ken Kushner, Executive Director; Emily Armstrong, Assistant Director/Board Clerk; Brian Jordan, Financial Officer; Jessica Fall, Recreation Manager; and Sheila Fehn, Office Manager.

GUESTS: Karen and Pete Caldwell, residents; Bob Drake, resident; Jim Stilgenbauer, resident; Steve Dasenbrock, resident; Kevin Bessenbach and his daughter, residents; Josh Gerth, Township Trustee; and Greg Keene, resident.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

AGENDA: Mrs. Stocker moved the Board approve the Agenda as presented. Mrs. Gelter seconded the motion. Voting: Yes: Mrs. Gelter, Mr. Herking, Mr. Bartholomew, Mrs. Stocker, and Mr. Turchiano. (5); No: None. The motion carried unanimously.

Public to Address the Board: Mr. Dasenbrock stated he is a resident who is active with Boy Scout Troop 519 and has been involved with ATPD projects of various types. Scouts at the troop level learn survival skills including gun training and ethical and humane hunting. He is aware the ATPD Rules and Regulations prohibit hunting within a half mile of an ATPD park, unless permitted by the ATPD. He asked if the Board would allow a closed, supervised, controlled hunting weekend for the boy scouts at Johnson Hills Park. His troop has received Ohio Hunter Safety training for which he is an instructor. They have also received NRA safety training, and the troop has hunted on private farms in Adams County. Closing the park for such a purpose would allow the scouts to use and develop their skills in a safe manner.

Mr. Bartholomew stated he appreciates the skills Mr. Dasenbrock is teaching the scouts, and that he himself is an avid hunter. However, in his opinion Johnson Hills Park is not an appropriate place for such a hunt.

Mr. Kushner said the ATPD has not found it necessary to conduct a controlled hunt, and that the Rules and Regulations are written so that the ATPD can deal with injured animals in the parks. Injured animals were a common occurrence at Veterans Park, prior to the sound walls being constructed along I-275. Should the ATPD determine the deer population to reach a nuisance level, the ATPD would refer to the Hamilton County Park District (“HCPD”) as they have an established process with trained and experienced professionals who manage culling the herd safely, quickly, and effectively. The HCPD manages 17,000 acres and Johnson Hills Park is only 139 acres with houses in close proximity.

Mr. Dasenbrock asked if there was a field dressing enthusiast’s list, should an animal need to be put down and/or field dressed. He said if a closed hunt is not an option, the scouts could still benefit the community and gain experience by humanely participating in this part of the process.

Mr. Bartholomew suggested Mr. Dasenbrock contact the HCPD to find out about their program and for information about getting on various field dressing lists.

Mr. Herking said Clermont County had such a program when he worked in law enforcement. He suggested Mr. Dasenbrock contact Sheriff Rodenburg.

Mr. Dasenbrock thanked the Board for guidance on the matter.

Mr. Drake stated he is a resident and wished to continue his efforts regarding the development of Johnson Hills Park. He distributed a petition to the meeting attendees (attached).

He said he has had many conversations with various people about his concerns for the ATPD's plans for Johnson Hills Park. He investigated a ballot initiative to prevent the ATPD from developing Johnson Hills Park which in his opinion would destroy the property. His research determined that a ballot initiative is not possible because of the status of the ATPD as a township park district, which is a separate political entity from Anderson Township government. The Anderson Township Trustees ("Trustees") have encouraged him to create and promote a petition to influence the Board of Park Commissioners. He currently has 30 people gathering signatures which he will present to the ATPD Board and the Trustees by the end of the year. He is keeping the Trustees apprised of this matter because the Trustees appoint the ATPD's Board members. He believes the ATPD is a great park system but that the ATPD is mistaken about what the public wants at Johnson Hills Park. He wants to collaborate on a different solution than what the current, adopted master plan represents. He is the unofficial spokesperson of a group that wants to work with the ATPD on behalf of all the issues everyone he has talked to has for the park. He understands the petition is not binding but he wants the Board to hear the public's voice to find a worthwhile and meaningful solution. He wants his guidelines to be included in the long-term plans for the park. He is trying to be proactive so that the Board is in agreement with him before money is budgeted or grant money is acquired.

Mrs. Stocker said items on Mr. Drake's list are not in the ATPD's plans for the park. The ATPD has already determined that the park is not conducive for baseball and soccer fields. She asked why he would include things on his list which the ATPD already has no plans to include at Johnson Hills Park.

Mr. Drake said people have asked him to include specifics such as sports fields. He understands the ATPD master plan does not include sports fields.

Mr. Herking said the master plan for Johnson Hills Park pre-dates his time on the Board. He and his family regularly visit Johnson Hills Park. He said the development of Johnson Hills Park is a ripeness issue and that there is no money budgeted for major construction in the immediate future.

Mr. Bartholomew said necessities and money will determine the priorities and the park will be developed over time by chipping away a little at a time.

Mr. Gerth said he wanted to clarify a few things about the conversation he had with Mr. Drake. He said that a petition can be a forum to represent what people want to a board. A legitimate petition with 1,000 residents' signatures could carry weight for a board. However, if the petition Mr. Drake presented is anything like the petition he is promoting on change.org, then he would be inclined not

to consider it if he was on the Board of Park Commissioners because, in his opinion, it is not based on fact. Saying that any improvements to the park will result in the “destruction of habitat” and using words like “expensive” and “environmentally damaging” is sensationalism. Saying the “alterations proposed are desired by only a few residents” is not truthful. If Mr. Drake intends to continue to promote the petition as presented, then as a Trustee, he has a problem with it because it does not represent what he and Mr. Drake talked about.

Mr. Drake said he is happy to debate the validity of his petition and said he has not misstated anything. One of the proposed plans includes a pond with boat ramps which the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (“OEPA”) has denied the ATPD from constructing.

Mr. Bartholomew stated the OEPA has not said the ATPD cannot re-establish the pond that was there. The ATPD could still rebuild the pond that previously existed on the property. He stated that Mr. Drake’s statements are misleading people regarding the ATPD’s plans for the park.

Mr. Drake said during a conversation with Mr. Kushner that Mr. Drake misinterpreted the 200 foot shelter to be an amphitheater, which Mr. Drake then corrected with the two people he talked to about it. In his opinion, some of the plans are environmentally damaging. A dog park scent will draw away from the wildlife. Paved parking areas would remove grassy habitat. Clearing trees and brush for camp sites and sand volleyball is damaging. He is not intending to be inaccurate; he just wants to give the Board a better sense of what the public wants.

Mrs. Stocker said opinions and suggestions from the community are excellent but the Board has to balance what people want with people who don’t want anything. The Board has been consistent that the development of Johnson Hills Park is going to be over time and that the park is not going to look like Beech Acres Park. The Board welcomes feedback and many people have expressed their ideas of what they want to see at Johnson Hills Park in public forums. The ATPD has an established history of public planning. The petition Mr. Drake has presented says only what the ATPD cannot do. She asked Mr. Drake where he is going to give on the plan, or if nothing is his only expectation. She wants a plan with which most of Anderson can be happy. The feel of the park is passive and passive recreational opportunities have been included in the plan. It is not reasonable for the ATPD to do nothing at Johnson Hills Park. The ATPD public input process took place over a long period of time with open houses, tours of the property, feed-back sessions, as well as regular meetings of the Board.

Mr. Drake stated that many people were unaware of the meetings.

Mrs. Gelter stated the ATPD can invite people to participate in public input processes but we can’t make them participate. People have to choose to participate. Mrs. Gelter said she was one of the two members who were serving on the Board when the ATPD bought the property from Mrs. Johnson. If the ATPD listened to the loudest people at the time regarding what the ATPD should do with the property, the park would still be a private horse boarding business, serving 38 people. She told Mr. Drake under that management, the public was not able to use the public’s park. The ATPD can’t just do nothing because the purpose of acquiring the property is to create a park for the community.

Mr. Keene stated that his interest is as a resident tax-payer and that it seems to him that passive is cheaper. He is concerned about the cost to build and maintain cabins (*cabins are not part of the established plan*).

Mr. Kushner stated it is important to remember the ATPD was created as an active park district. We acquire, build, and maintain parks and facilities that get people out and active. Johnson Hills Park was acquired to round out the recreational offerings of the ATPD. The ATPD laid out the hiking trails and worked with Eagle Scouts to construct bridges, etc. Everyone at the ATPD appreciates how pretty the park is which has continually changed since it was purchased in 1998. Board members, Mrs. Gelter and Mr. Bartholomew, signed off on the original property purchased 16 years ago. The eventual sidewalks will get more people to the park from the surrounding neighborhoods. People are already hiking, mountain bike riding, and playing in the park. People, including Mr. Dasenbrock, want fishing opportunities. The community expects playgrounds in our parks. People want hard surfaced trails so even those with disabilities can enjoy parts of Johnson Hills Park. Parking is necessary infrastructure in our business.

Johnson Hills Park is different than our other parks. We were founded for active recreational purposes. We have worked hard to get the property to its current state for the community to enjoy. The ATPD does not have money to make everything happen at once, but we will get there over time. We made a mistake by allowing the horse operation to exist on the property so long because in hind sight, it prevented the entire community from being able to enjoy their park.

Many people came to the public planning meetings. We conducted surveys at our large events, the plans were available on-line for review and we encouraged and collected feedback.

There are no cabins planned for Johnson Hills Park. We foresee tent camping on a registration basis. The ATPD has already had scout groups camping at Johnson Hills Park over the last few years. There will not be long-term camping, or trailers. The hardened sites would be used to promote camping for families on such events as The Great Outdoors Weekend. Camping can be daunting if you are just getting started. If people are close to home they will be more likely to give it a try. We intend to offer families the opportunity to learn how to camp so they can use their experience to grow into bigger camping opportunities.

The plan for Johnson Hills Park is a hybrid of what the ATPD does and it all takes money. The park requires new entry points which will require the removal of vegetation. Trails continue to be the most highly requested park amenity. The ATPD has not applied to re-construct the pond but still intends to build one because people want to fish locally. Restrooms cost more than most amenities but people expect them. The power grid at Johnson Hills Park is from 1937 and will be upgraded. The property is not pristine as it was a cattle farm with very few trees until 1961 when the trees were allowed to grow in areas that were no longer needed for grazing purposes.

We do not want to limit future Boards by making decisions that limit their ability to meet the needs of the community. Each park evolves to meet the community's needs. The ATPD intends to make the park accessible for many, not just for a few.

Mr. Drake said the people he has spoken with sign the petition because the things the ATPD has planned already exist in other places, including Frisbee golf.

Mr. Bartholomew said duplication is not a valid reason not to put anything in the park since nature also exists throughout the community in other parks including Woodland Mound Park, Withrow Nature Preserve, the Cincinnati Nature Center, and California Woods.

Mr. Drake said he respects the ATPD's issues. He will continue to gather signatures and will present them to the Board by the end of the year. He wants the Board to work with his group because of the large number of interested people.

Mrs. Gelter asked that he correct the ATPD's name in his petition and asked that he present the information about the soccer and baseball fields appropriately.

Mrs. Stocker said that a blanket statement about what the ATPD cannot do is going to undermine the legitimacy of his feedback. Doing nothing is not an option for the ATPD.

Mr. Herking asked that Mr. Drake include a link to the ATPD's Johnson Hills Park plans so people can make an independent decision.

Mr. Turchiano asked Mr. Drake to correct his materials which indicate that "few" residents' desire improvements to Johnson Hills Park as that is Mr. Drake's opinion and not fact.

Mr. Caldwell stated he is a resident who is in support of Frisbee golf. He participated since the beginning of the Johnson Hills Park public input process to develop the master plan. Since the first article in the paper for the planning process, he has completed surveys, attended meetings, and rendered his feedback on the draft park plans. He has been a member of a Frisbee golf club for 25 years. His club's website is cincinнатidiscgolf.com, a not-for-profit organization that has built, maintained, and fund-raised for disc golf courses throughout the tri-state area.

Mr. Caldwell said there has always been and continues to be significant interest in a disc golf course in this area. If the Board needs him to produce a petition in favor of the amenity, he is willing to do so, and believes he could do so easily.

He said disc golf continues to be a popular activity for families and people of all ages. Johnson Hills Park's rolling hills, wooded areas, and open grass areas are perfect for a disc golf course. The HCPD's Woodland Mound Park course was first constructed in 1981 and was the first course in this area. The course is too small and too crowded. He encouraged the Board to visit this local course after school or on a nice weekend to see the volume of use the course receives. While the course is popular, it is comparable to a par 3, ball golf course. A good disc golf course is much like good ball golf course, and should include varying hole lengths and difficulty. The Mt. Airy Forest course is a good example of a nice, medium sized course. Idlewild in Burlington Kentucky is one of the top 5 courses in the country and is approximately 80 acres. Additional courses in the area include Goshen and Winton Woods Park. The Goshen course is a result of his club's volunteer and fund-raising efforts. They worked with the parks department to design the 18-hole, 20-25 acre course and constructed it with all volunteer labor. He recently consulted with Miami University on their course renovation project.

Mr. Caldwell said he recalls many people at the public meetings about Johnson Hills Park and that lots of people were excited about the idea of a disc golf course. He has patiently waited since 2001 for the ATPD to take the next step to make the disc golf course a reality.

Mrs. Stocker asked if his club was still interested in assisting with the project, including fund-raising as other clubs like pickleball have done. She asked for information about the costs and space requirements for a course.

Mr. Caldwell said his club continues to offer its services to assist in the process, including design, construction, and maintenance. An entire course costs approximately \$10,000. Good baskets are about \$350 each and can be moved around as the course flow dictates. Each hole requires a 5' x 12' pad made of concrete or pavers. Their club can save the ATPD a significant amount of money during the design phase as they would work with park staff to lay out a course. A short hole can be 150'; a long hole can be 500'. The course should include a mixture of directions and a simple sign that indicates the length of the hole, a minimal overview of the hole, and whether it is a par 3, 4, or 5. The ATPD can save more money by welding its own sleeves, which enables the baskets to easily be moved. There is significant longevity in the baskets. Woodland Mound Park replaced their baskets once in the late 1990's.

He said his club would commit to helping with the fund-raising effort as they did for the Goshen course. They ran a tournament for approximately 25-30 participants, for which they donated half of the entry fee for the course. They helped a park employee put in the course and mulched areas. He said they worked with the Cincinnati Parks Department in 1993 and 1998 to put in courses and still have volunteer days where they work on courses.

Mr. Keene said he is a taxpayer who cares about the cost of maintenance and asked about the maintenance of such courses.

Mr. Caldwell said the course maintenance is similar to trail maintenance. A few garbage cans are necessary. Grassy areas need to be occasionally mowed, and a basic weed and tree maintenance program keeps the course playable.

He thanked the Board for their time.

Mr. Stilgenbauer stated he is in support of Frisbee golf. He is 42 years old and regularly plays with his 10 and 12 year old children. He began playing on the Woodland Mound Park course when he was a little kid and has loved it ever since. Disc golf is a great family activity and is like an enhanced hike. Anderson needs another disc golf option. There is a lot of support for a course at Johnson Hills Park. He said the upkeep of disc golf is totally different than ball golf. There is no highly manicured, short grass, nor sprays. The course would complement the natural terrain of Johnson Hills Park.

He thanked the Board for their consideration.

Mr. Bessenbach stated that he is a resident who is in support of disc golf. He loved playing at Woodland Mound Park as a kid and would ride his bike 3 miles to play the course. He has played for 25 years and said it is a great family activity and a great sport. Much like ball golf, enthusiasts do not want to play the same course all the time, so another course is definitely needed in the area. Disc golf gets kids in the area away from video games to the park to play. The volume of interest in Anderson warrants another course option in the community.

He thanked the Board for their time.

The Board thanked everyone in attendance for their time and for their interest in the ATPD.

OLD BUSINESS:

Lost and Found Procedure: Staff continues to work on the draft resolution to present to the Board at a future meeting.

Beech Acres Park ~ Cell Tower Request: Mr. Kushner reported the trail has gone cold on Crown Castle International's ("CCI") interest to expand the footprint for additional cell tower equipment at Beech Acres Park.

Mr. Keene asked for more information about this subject.

Mr. Kushner said CCI requested to construct an additional 170 foot stealth tower. The existing stealth tower is 150 feet tall. Cell towers in ATPD parks bring revenue to the park district which enables the ATPD to extend the limited resources of the tax base. The ATPD can require a particular type of tower for better aesthetics. The tower at Beech Acres Park is a mono pole, which many people have no idea is actually a cell tower. The ATPD is obligated to our tax payers to explore such revenue options. Cell tower companies are interesting. They get hot and cold quickly but the residents of Anderson have benefited greatly from arrangements between the ATPD and cell tower companies.

Mr. Bartholomew suggested the Board remove the item from Old Business.

Mrs. Stocker moved the Board remove the Beech Acres Park Cell Tower item from Old Business until the matter becomes active again.

Mr. Herking seconded the motion. Voting: Yes: Mrs. Gelter, Mr. Herking, Mr. Bartholomew, Mrs. Stocker, and Mr. Turchiano. (5); No: None. The motion carried unanimously.

Clear Creek Park Project ~ Pickleball Courts: Mr. Kushner stated the ATPD has come to a resolution with Duke Energy regarding the placement of the pickleball courts. Due to the time of year, the project has been rescheduled for the spring of 2015. The project is a NatureWorks grant, administered by the Ohio Department of Natural Resources.

NEW BUSINESS:

Calendar of Events: Mr. Kushner presented a Calendar of Events of upcoming activities for review by the Board.

Mr. Bartholomew thanked Mr. Kushner and everyone who helped with the Veterans Day program at the Anderson Center. 170 veterans were served dinner.

FUNDRAISING AND GRANT ACTIVITY:

Mr. Kushner stated there is nothing new to report.

REQUESTS FOR APPROVAL:

Staff Changes: Mrs. Armstrong said there were no staff changes to present.

2015 Board Meeting Schedule: Mrs. Stocker asked staff to notify the Foundation members immediately about the first quarter meeting in the New Year.

Mrs. Gelter moved the Board approve the 2015 Board of Park Commissioners Regular Meeting Schedule as presented.

Mrs. Stocker seconded the motion. Voting: Yes: Mrs. Gelter, Mr. Herking, Mr. Bartholomew, Mrs. Stocker, and Mr. Turchiano. (5); No: None. The motion carried unanimously.

2015 Holidays: Mrs. Gelter moved the Board approve the 2015 Holidays as presented.

Mr. Herking seconded the motion. Voting: Yes: Mrs. Gelter, Mr. Herking, Mr. Bartholomew, Mrs. Stocker, and Mr. Turchiano. (5); No: None. The motion carried unanimously.

2015 Fees and Charges: Mrs. Gelter said the last time Field Fees were raised was in 2007.

A brief discussion ensued about evaluating a possible increase of the field fees and that all of the ATPD's costs have risen every year. Mr. Bartholomew suggested the matter be tabled until the next meeting and asked staff to provide information for the Board's consideration at the December meeting.

Mrs. Stocker suggested an increase of the rates for non-residents be considered.

2015 Field Use Policies: Mr. Herking asked if the ATPD has ever retained a field user's damage deposit.

Mr. Kushner stated youth football used to destroy the natural turf fields due to the nature of the sport. The Riverside Park All Weather Fields solved this problem and in effect, protect the ATPD's natural turf fields for our residential play. The ATPD has learned that serving local users is better for the natural turf fields and better serves our residents. Tournaments inconvenience our regular field users and are far more likely to try to get their games in at the expense of the fields. Natural turf athletic fields cost approximately \$0.93 per yard or \$30,000 per field and take a long time to recover/repair after being damaged.

Mrs. Stocker moved the Board approve the 2015 Field Use Policies.

Mr. Turchiano seconded the motion. Voting: Yes: Mrs. Gelter, Mr. Herking, Mr. Bartholomew, Mrs. Stocker, and Mr. Turchiano. (5); No: None. The motion carried unanimously.

2015 All Weather Fields Rental Agreement and Policies: Mr. Herking moved the Board approve the 2015 All Weather Fields Rental Agreement and Policies as amended.

Mrs. Stocker seconded the motion. Voting: Yes: Mrs. Gelter, Mr. Herking, Mr. Bartholomew, Mrs. Stocker, and Mr. Turchiano. (5); No: None. The motion carried unanimously.

Depository Agreements: Mr. Herking moved the Board authorize the depository agreements as amended, designating U.S. Bank and Mt. Washington Savings Bank as ATPD depositories, for January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2020.

Mrs. Gelter seconded the motion. Voting: Yes: Mrs. Gelter, Mr. Herking, Mr. Bartholomew, Mrs. Stocker, and Mr. Turchiano. (5); No: None. The motion carried unanimously.

2015 Budget: Mr. Jordan presented the 2015 Budget draft for the Board's review. Following a brief discussion, Mr. Bartholomew asked the Board to study the draft for consideration at next month's meeting.

Monthly Purchase Order Approval: Mrs. Stocker moved the Board approve the following between-meeting Purchase Orders:

<i>Rack Seven Paving, Co.</i>	<i>Juilfs Park parking lot striping and front entrance</i>	\$ 7,320.00
<i>Wendling Printing, Co.</i>	<i>Park Guide</i>	\$ 5,710.00

Mr. Herking seconded the motion. Voting: Yes: Mrs. Gelter, Mr. Herking, Mr. Bartholomew, Mrs. Stocker, and Mr. Turchiano. (5); No: None. The motion carried unanimously.

FINANCIAL REPORTS AND AUTHORIZATION OF EXPENDITURES:

Mr. Turchiano moved the Board approve the **October, 2014 Financial Reports and Authorize the Expenditures** as presented by Mr. Jordan.

Mrs. Stocker seconded the motion. Voting: Yes: Mrs. Gelter, Mr. Herking, Mr. Bartholomew, Mrs. Stocker, and Mr. Turchiano. (5); No: None. The motion carried unanimously.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Mrs. Gelter moved the Board approve the minutes from the **October 14, 2014 Regular Meeting** as written. Mr. Herking seconded the motion. Voting: Yes: Mrs. Gelter, Mr. Herking, Mr. Bartholomew, and Mrs. Stocker. (4); No: None; Abstain: Mr. Turchiano. (1). The motion carried.

Mrs. Gelter moved the Board approve the minutes from the **November 6, 2014 Special Meeting** as written. Mrs. Stocker seconded the motion. Voting: Yes: Mrs. Gelter, Mr. Bartholomew, Mrs. Stocker, and Mr. Turchiano. (4); No: None; Abstain: Mr. Herking. (1). The motion carried.

Public to Address the Board: Mr. Dasenbrock encouraged the Board to consider local boy scouts for projects in the parks.

Mr. Kushner stated that almost every improvement made at Johnson Hills Park that didn't require large equipment is a result of scout projects. The community has benefited greatly by the scouts' efforts throughout the ATPD.

Mr. Keene asked about the ATPD's plan to plant hard wood trees at Johnson Hills Park.

Mr. Kushner stated 1,400 whips were planted in 2014 at Johnson Hills Park. Whips are trees that are bigger than saplings, which measure approximately 2.5 – 6 feet tall. The ATPD has planted approximately 3,000 trees at Johnson Hills Park since 2000 which are expected to have a 70% success rate. Overall, throughout the ATPD parks, over 3,500 large trees and approximately 25,000 whips have been planted. Approximately 600 trees have been planted at Riverside Park in the last six years. Tree damage as a result of insects, straight line winds, and Hurricane Ike has had a significant impact on our canopy. Volunteers are the backbone of our tree planting efforts.

At 8:18 pm Mrs. Stocker moved the Board adjourn into Executive Session to discuss land acquisition and employee compensation. Mrs. Gelter seconded the motion. Voting: Yes: Mrs. Gelter, Mr. Herking, Mr. Bartholomew, Mrs. Stocker, and Mr. Turchiano. (5); No: None. The motion carried unanimously.

The Board took a short break.

At 9:20 pm Mrs. Stocker moved the Board adjourn the Executive Session and re-open the regular meeting. Mrs. Gelter seconded the motion. Voting: Yes: Mrs. Gelter, Mr. Herking, Mr. Bartholomew, Mrs. Stocker, and Mr. Turchiano. (5); No: None. The motion carried unanimously.

Additional 2014 Half-Day Holiday: Mr. Bartholomew moved the Board approve each full-time employee receive an additional half-day holiday to be used by January 2, 2015 as a reward for a job well done in 2014. Mrs. Gelter seconded the motion. Voting: Yes: Mrs. Gelter, Mr. Herking, Mr. Bartholomew, Mrs. Stocker, and Mr. Turchiano. (5); No: None. The motion carried unanimously.

At 9:23 pm, there being no further business to be brought before this Board, Mr. Herking moved to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Turchiano seconded the motion. Voting: Yes: Mrs. Gelter, Mr. Herking, Mr. Bartholomew, Mrs. Stocker, and Mr. Turchiano. (5); No: None. The motion carried unanimously.

I hereby certify that the foregoing are the minutes of the November 18, 2014 meeting of the Board of Park Commissioners, which minutes reflect the essence of the meeting and are not verbatim.

Emily Armstrong
Board Clerk

Dale Bartholomew

Nadine Gelter

Rob Herking

Angie Stocker

Tom Turchiano